## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	d States of America,	)	Case No. 4-20-70200MA6
	Plaintiff, v.	) )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME DUNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
	Defendant(s).	)	FEB 2 8 2020 W
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on \( \frac{2\8/20}{\\$\), the court excludes time under the speedy Trial Act from \( \frac{2\8/20}{2\8/20} \) to \( \frac{3\4\20}{2\8/20} \) and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. \( \frac{5}{3\8/20} \) 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
	Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).		ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to e	ne prosecut expect adec	the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	— ,	•	ne defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
-	_	mmitment	onably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given s, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
		n, taking ir	onably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). <i>See</i> Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).		
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DATED: 2/28/20  Kandis A Westmore			
		,	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney
DATE	the time limits for a preliminary hextending the 30-day time period exclusions set forth above). See Forth above.  SO ORDERED.  ED: 2/28/20	earing und for an indi	der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).  Kandis A. Westmore